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Abstract

An estimate of the second moment of the regression function is introduced. Its asymptotic normality is proved such that the asymptotic variance depends neither on the dimension of the observation vector, nor on the smoothness properties of the regression function.
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1. Introduction

Let $Y$ be a real valued random variable and let $X = (X^{(1)}, \ldots, X^{(d)})$ be a $d$-dimensional random observational vector. In regression analysis one wishes to estimate $Y$ given $X$, i.e., one wants to find a function $g$ defined on the range of $X$ so that $g(X)$ is “close” to $Y$. Assume that the main aim of the analysis is to minimize the mean squared error:

$$\min_{g} \mathbb{E}[(g(X) - Y)^2].$$

As is well-known, this minimum is achieved by the regression function $m(x)$, which is defined by

$$m(x) = \mathbb{E}\{Y \mid X = x\}. \tag{1}$$

For each measurable function $g$ one has

$$\mathbb{E}[(g(X) - Y)^2] = \mathbb{E}[(m(X) - Y)^2] + \mathbb{E}[(m(X) - g(X))^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(m(X) - Y)^2] + \int |m(x) - g(x)|^2 \mu(dx),$$
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where $\mu$ stands for the distribution of the observation $X$.

It is of great importance to be able to estimate the minimum mean squared error

$$L^* = \mathbb{E}\{(m(X) - Y)^2\}$$

accurately, even before a regression estimate is applied: in a standard nonparametric regression design process, one considers a finite number of real-valued features $X^{(i)}$, $i \in I$, and evaluates whether these suffice to explain $Y$. In case they suffice for the given explanatory task, an estimation method can be applied on the basis of the features already under consideration, if not, more or different features must be considered. The quality of a subvector $\{X^{(i)}, i \in I\}$ of $X$ is measured by the minimum mean squared error

$$L^*(I) := \mathbb{E}\left(Y - \mathbb{E}\{Y|X^{(i)}, i \in I\}\right)^2$$

that can be achieved using the features as explanatory variables. $L^*(I)$ depends upon the unknown distribution of $(Y, X^{(i)} : i \in I)$. The first phase of any regression estimation process therefore heavily relies on estimates of $L^*$ (even before a regression estimate is picked).

Concerning dimension reduction the related testing problem is on the hypothesis

$$L^* = L^*(I).$$

This testing problem can be managed such that we estimate both $L^*$ and $L^*(I)$, and accept the hypothesis if the two estimates are close to each other. (Cf. De Brabanter et al. (2014).)

Devroye et al. (2003), Evans and Jones (2008), Liitiäinen et al. (2008), Liitiäinen et al. (2009), Liitiäinen et al. (2010), and Ferrario and Walk (2012) introduced nearest neighbor based estimates of $L^*$, proved strong universal consistency and calculated the (fast) rate of convergence.

Because of

$$L^* = \mathbb{E}\{Y^2\} - \mathbb{E}\{m(X)^2\},$$

estimating $L^*$ is equivalent to estimating the second moment $S^*$ of the regression function:

$$S^* = \mathbb{E}\{m(X)^2\} = \int m(x)^2 \mu(dx).$$

In this paper we introduce a partitioning based estimator of $S^*$, and show its asymptotic normality. It turns out that the asymptotic variance depends neither on the dimension of the observation vector, nor on the smoothness properties of the regression function.

2. A splitting estimate

We suppose that the regression estimation problem is based on a sequence

$$(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \ldots$$

of i.i.d. random vectors distributed as $(X, Y)$. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{A_{n,j}, j = 1, 2, \ldots\}$$
be a cubic partition of $\mathbb{R}^d$ of size $h_n > 0$.

The partitioning estimator of the regression function $m$ is defined as

$$m_n(x) = \frac{\nu_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})} \text{ if } x \in A_{n,j},$$

(2)

(interpreting $0/0 = 0$) with

$$\nu_n(A) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{x_i \in A\}} Y_i$$

and

$$\mu_n(A) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{x_i \in A\}}.$$

(Here $I$ denotes the indicator function.)

If for cubic partition

$$nh^d_n \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad h_n \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, then the partitioning regression estimate (2) is weakly universally consistent, which means that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{ \int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) \right\} = 0$$

(4)

for any distribution of $(X,Y)$ with $\mathbb{E}\{Y^2\} < \infty$, and for bounded $Y$ it holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) = 0$$

(5)
a.s. (Cf. Theorems 4.2 and 23.1 in Györfi et al. (2002).)

Assume splitting data

$$Z_n = \{(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)\}$$

and

$$D'_n = \{(X'_1, Y'_1), \ldots, (X'_n, Y'_n)\}$$

such that $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n), (X'_1, Y'_1), \ldots, (X'_n, Y'_n)$ are i.i.d.

The splitting data estimate of $S^*$ is defined as

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y'_i m_n(X'_i).$$

Put

$$\nu_n'(A) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{x'_i \in A\}} Y'_i,$$

then $S_n$ has the equivalent form

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I_{\{x'_i \in A_{n,j}\}} Y'_i \frac{\nu_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n'(A_{n,j})}{\nu_n(A_{n,j})}.$$

(6)
Theorem 1 Assume (3) and that $\mu$ is non-atomic and has bounded support. Suppose that there is a finite constant $C$ such that
\[ \mathbb{E}\{|Y|^3 \mid X\} < C. \] (7)

Then
\[ \sqrt{n}(S_n - \mathbb{E}\{S_n\}) / \sigma \overset{D}{\rightarrow} N(0, 1), \]
where
\[ \sigma^2 = 2 \int M_2(x)m(x)^2\mu(dx) - \left( \int m(x)^2\mu(dx) \right)^2 - \int m(x)^4\mu(dx), \]
with
\[ M_2(X) = \mathbb{E}\{Y^2 \mid X\}. \]

We prove Theorem 1 in the next section.

3. Proof of Theorem 1
Introduce the notations
\[ U_n = \sqrt{n}(S_n - \mathbb{E}\{S_n \mid Z_n\}) \]
and
\[ V_n = \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{E}\{S_n \mid Z_n\} - \mathbb{E}\{S_n\}), \]
then
\[ \sqrt{n}(S_n - \mathbb{E}\{S_n\}) = U_n + V_n. \]

We prove Theorem 1 by showing that for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$
\[ \mathbb{P}\{U_n \leq u, V_n \leq v\} \rightarrow \Phi\left( \frac{u}{\sigma_1} \right) \Phi\left( \frac{v}{\sigma_2} \right) \] (8)
where $\Phi$ denotes the standard normal distribution function, and
\[ \sigma_1^2 = \int M_2(x)m(x)^2\mu(dx) - \left( \int m(x)^2\mu(dx) \right)^2 \]
and
\[ \sigma_2^2 = \int M_2(x)m(x)^2\mu(dx) - \int m(x)^4\mu(dx). \]

Notice that $V_n$ is measurable with respect to $Z_n$, therefore
\[
\left| \mathbb{P}\{U_n \leq u, V_n \leq v\} - \Phi\left( \frac{u}{\sigma_1} \right) \Phi\left( \frac{v}{\sigma_2} \right) \right| \\
= \left| \mathbb{E}\{I_{\{V_n \leq v\}}\mathbb{P}\{U_n \leq u \mid Z_n\} \} - \Phi\left( \frac{u}{\sigma_1} \right) \Phi\left( \frac{v}{\sigma_2} \right) \right| \\
\leq \left| \mathbb{E}\left\{ I_{\{V_n \leq v\}} \left( \mathbb{P}\{U_n \leq u \mid Z_n\} - \Phi\left( \frac{u}{\sigma_1} \right) \right) \right\} \right| \\
+ \left| \mathbb{P}\{V_n \leq v\} - \Phi\left( \frac{v}{\sigma_2} \right) \right| \Phi\left( \frac{u}{\sigma_1} \right) \\
\leq \mathbb{E}\left\{ \mathbb{P}\{U_n \leq u \mid Z_n\} - \Phi\left( \frac{u}{\sigma_1} \right) \right\} + \mathbb{P}\{V_n \leq v\} - \Phi\left( \frac{v}{\sigma_2} \right). 
\]
Thus, (8) is satisfied if
\[ \mathbb{P}\{U_n \leq u \mid Z_n\} \to \Phi \left( \frac{u}{\sigma_1} \right) \]  
(9)
in probability and
\[ \mathbb{P}\{V_n \leq v\} \to \Phi \left( \frac{v}{\sigma_2} \right). \]  
(10)

**Proof of (9).**
Let’s start with the representation
\[ U_n = \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i' m_n(X_i') - \mathbb{E}\{Y_i' m_n(X_i') \mid Z_n\}) \right) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i' m_n(X_i') - \mathbb{E}\{Y_i' m_n(X_i') \mid Z_n\}). \]
Because of (7) and the Jensen inequality, for any 1 \( \leq s \leq 3 \), we get
\[ M_s(X) := \mathbb{E}\{|Y|^s \mid X\} = (\mathbb{E}\{|Y|^s \mid X\}^{1/s})^s \leq (\mathbb{E}\{|Y|^3 \mid X\}^{1/3})^3 \leq C^{s/3}, \]  
(11)
especially
\[ |m(X)| \leq C^{1/3} \]
and
\[ \mathbb{E}\{|Y|^3\} \leq C. \]
Next we apply a Berry-Esseen type central limit theorem (see Theorem 14 in Petrov (1975)).
It implies that
\[ \left| \mathbb{P}\{U_n \leq u \mid Z_n\} - \Phi \left( \frac{u}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Y_i' m_n(X_i') \mid Z_n)} \right) \right| \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\{|Y_i' m_n(X_i')|^3 \mid Z_n\}}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Y_i' m_n(X_i') \mid Z_n)}^3} \]
with the universal constant \( c > 0 \).
Because of
\[ \mathbb{E}\{Y_i' m_n(X_i') \mid Z_n\} = \int m(x) m_n(x) \mu(dx), \]
we get that
\[ \text{Var}(Y_i' m_n(X_i') \mid Z_n) = \mathbb{E}\{Y_i'^2 m_n(X_i')^2 \mid Z_n\} - \mathbb{E}\{Y_i' m_n(X_i') \mid Z_n\}^2 \]
\[ = \int M_2(x) m_n(x)^2 \mu(dx) - \left( \int m(x) m_n(x) \mu(dx) \right)^2. \]
Now (4) together with (11) implies that
the
\[ \text{Var}(Y_i' m_n(X_i') \mid Z_n) \to \sigma_1^2 \]
in probability. Further
\[ \mathbb{E}\{|Y_i' m_n(X_i')|^3 \mid Z_n\} \leq C \int |m_n(x)|^3 \mu(dx). \]
Put
\[ A_n(x) = A_{n,j} \text{ if } x \in A_{n,j}. \]

Again, applying the Jensen inequality we get
\[ |m_n(x)|^3 \leq \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}} Y_i^{3/2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}}} \right|^2, \]

the right hand side of which is the square of the regression estimate, where \( Y \) is replaced by \( |Y|^{3/2} \). Thus, (4) together with \( \mathbb{E}\{|Y|^3\} < \infty \) implies that
\[ \int \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}} Y_i^{3/2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}}} \right|^2 \mu(dx) \to \mathbb{E}\{|Y|^{3/2} | X \}^2 < C \]
in probability. These limit relations imply (9).

**Proof of (10).**

Assuming that the support \( S \) of \( \mu \) is bounded, let \( l_n \) be such that \( S \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_n} A_{n,j} \). Also we re-index the partition so that
\[ \mu(A_{n,j}) \geq \mu(A_{n,j+1}), \]

with \( \mu(A_{n,j}) > 0 \) for \( j \leq l_n \), and \( \mu(A_{n,j}) = 0 \) otherwise. Then,
\[ S_n = \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j}) \frac{\nu_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})}, \tag{12} \]

and
\[ l_n \leq \frac{c}{h^d}. \]

The condition \( nh_n^d \to \infty \) implies that
\[ l_n/n \to 0. \]

Because of (12) we have that
\[ V_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j}) \left( \frac{\nu_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})} - \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\nu_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})} \right\} \right). \]

Observe that we have to show the asymptotic normality for a finite sum of dependent random variables. In order to prove (10), we follow the lines of the proof in Beirlant and Györfi (1998) such that use a Poissonization argument. We introduce the notation \( N_n \) for a Poisson\( (n) \) random variable independent of \((X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \ldots \). Moreover we put
\[ n\tilde{\nu}_n(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} I_{\{X_i \in A\}} Y_i \]

and
\[ n\tilde{\mu}_n(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} I_{\{X_i \in A\}}. \]

The key result in this step is the following property:
Proposition 2 (Beirlant and Mason (1995), Beirlant et al. (1994).) Put

\[ \tilde{M}_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j}) \left( \frac{\tilde{v}_n(A_{n,j})}{\tilde{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})} - \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{v}_n(A_{n,j})}{\tilde{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})} \right\} \right), \quad (13) \]

and

\[ M_n = \sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j}) \left( \frac{v_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})} - \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{v_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})} \right\} \right). \quad (14) \]

Assume that

\[ \Phi_n(t,v) = \mathbb{E} \left( \exp \left( it \tilde{M}_n + iv \frac{N_n - n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \to e^{-(t^2\rho^2 + v^2)/2} \]

for a constant \( \rho > 0 \). Then

\[ M_n / \rho \overset{D}{\rightarrow} N(0,1). \]

Put

\[ T_n = t\tilde{M}_n + v \frac{N_n - n}{\sqrt{n}}, \]

for which a central limit result is to hold:

\[ T_n \overset{D}{\rightarrow} N \left( 0, t^2 \rho^2 + v^2 \right) \quad (15) \]

as \( n \to \infty \). Remark that

\[ \text{Var}(T_n) = t^2 \text{Var}(\tilde{M}_n) + 2tv\mathbb{E} \left\{ \tilde{M}_n \frac{N_n - n}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} + v^2. \]

For a cell \( A = A_{n,j} \) from the partition with \( \mu(A) > 0 \), let \( Y(A) \) be a random variable such that

\[ \mathbb{P}(Y(A) \in B) = \mathbb{P}(Y \in B | X \in A), \]

where \( B \) is an arbitrary Borel set.

Introduce the notations

\[ q_{n,k} = \mathbb{P}(n\mu_n(A) = k) = \binom{n}{k} \mu(A)^k (1 - \mu(A))^{n-k} \]

and

\[ \tilde{q}_{n,k} = \mathbb{P}(n\tilde{\mu}_n(A) = k) = \frac{(n\mu(A))^k}{k!} e^{-n\mu(A)}. \]

Concerning the expectation, with \( (Y_1(A), Y_2(A), \ldots) \) an i.i.d. sequence of random variables distributed as \( Y(A) \) we find that

\[ \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{v}_n(A)}{\tilde{\mu}_n(A)} \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{v}_n(A)}{\tilde{\mu}_n(A)} \mid n\tilde{\mu}_n(A) = k \right\} \mathbb{P}(n\tilde{\mu}_n(A) = k) \]

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} Y_i(A) / k \right\} \tilde{q}_{n,k} \]

\[ = \mathbb{E} \{ Y_1(A) \} (1 - \tilde{q}_{n,0}) \]

\[ = \frac{\nu(A)}{\mu(A)} (1 - \tilde{q}_{n,0}), \quad (16) \]
further, by (21)
\[
\mathbb{E}\left\{ \frac{\nu_n(A)}{\mu_n(A)} \right\} = n \mathbb{E}\left\{ \frac{Y_n(A)}{1 + (n - 1)\mu_{n-1}(A)} \right\} = \frac{\nu(A)}{\mu(A)}(1 - (1 - \mu(A))^n),
\]

Moreover,
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left\{ \frac{\tilde{\nu}_n(A)^2}{\mu_n(A)^2} \right\} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{ \frac{\tilde{\nu}_n(A)^2}{\mu_n(A)^2} \mid n\tilde{\nu}_n(A) = k \right\} \mathbb{P}\{n\tilde{\nu}_n(A) = k\} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{ \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} Y_i(A)\right)^2}{k^2} \right\} \tilde{q}_{n,k} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mathbb{E}\left\{ Y_1(A)^2 \right\} \frac{k(k-1)}{k^2} \tilde{q}_{n,k} \\
&= \mathbb{V}ar\left(Y_1(A)\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \tilde{q}_{n,k} + \mathbb{E}\left\{ Y_1(A)^2 \right\} (1 - \tilde{q}_{n,0}),
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \tilde{q}_{n,k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{n\mu(A)}{k!} e^{-n\mu(A)} \right) \\
= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{n\mu(A)}{k!} e^{-n\mu(A)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \left(\frac{n\mu(A)}{k!} e^{-n\mu(A)} \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{n\mu(A)} (1 - \tilde{q}_{n,0}) + \frac{3}{n^2\mu(A)^2} (1 - \tilde{q}_{n,0}).
\]
The independence of the Poisson masses over different cells leads to
\[
\mathbb{V}ar(\tilde{M}_n) = n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j})^2 \mathbb{V}ar\left( \frac{\tilde{\nu}_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})} \right) \\
\leq n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j})^2 \left( \mathbb{V}ar\left( Y_1(A_{n,j}) \right) \left(\frac{1}{n\mu(A_{n,j})} (1 - e^{-n\mu(A_{n,j})}) \right) \\
+ \frac{3}{n^2\mu(A_{n,j})^2} (1 - e^{-n\mu(A_{n,j})}) \right) \\
+ \mathbb{E}\left\{ Y_1(A_{n,j}) \right\}^2 (1 - e^{-n\mu(A_{n,j})}) - \mathbb{E}\left\{ Y_1(A_{n,j}) \right\}^2 (1 - e^{-n\mu(A_{n,j})})^2 \\
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j})^2 \mathbb{V}ar\left( Y_1(A_{n,j}) \right) \mu(A_{n,j}) \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \frac{3 \mathbb{V}ar\left( Y_1(A_{n,j}) \right) \nu(A_{n,j})^2}{n\mu(A_{n,j})} \\
+ n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j})^2 \mathbb{E}\left\{ Y_1(A_{n,j}) \right\}^2 e^{-n\mu(A_{n,j})}.
\]
On the asymptotic normality of a regression functional estimate such that the bounding error in these inequalities is of order $O(l_n/n)$. (4) together with the boundedness of $M_2$ and $m$ implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \frac{\nu(A_{n,j})^2}{\mu(A_{n,j})^2} \text{Var} (Y_1(A_{n,j})) \mu(A_{n,j})$$

$$= \int \frac{f_{A_n(x)} M_2(z) \mu(dz)}{\mu(A_n(x))} \left( \frac{f_{A_n(x)} m(z) \mu(dz)}{\mu(A_n(x))} \right)^2 \mu(dx) - \int \left( \frac{f_{A_n(x)} m(z) \mu(dz)}{\mu(A_n(x))} \right)^4 \mu(dx)$$

$$= \sigma_2^2 + o(1).$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l_n} 3\text{Var} (Y_1(A_{n,j})) \frac{\nu(A_{n,j})^2}{n \mu(A_{n,j})^2} \leq \frac{3C^{4/3}l_n}{n} \to 0.$$

Then

$$n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \frac{\nu(A_{n,j})^2}{\mu(A_{n,j})^2} E \{ Y_1(A_{n,j}) \}^2 e^{-n \mu(A_{n,j})}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \frac{\nu(A_{n,j})^2}{\mu(A_{n,j})^2} E \{ Y_1(A_{n,j}) \}^2 n \mu(A_{n,j}) e^{-n \mu(A_{n,j})} \mu(A_{n,j})$$

$$\leq C^{4/3} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} n \mu(A_{n,j})^2 e^{-n \mu(A_{n,j})}$$

$$\leq C^{4/3} (\max z > 0 z^2 e^{-z}) l_n/n \to 0.$$

So we proved that

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{M}_n) \to \sigma_2^2.$$

To complete the asymptotics for $\text{Var}(T_n)$, it remains to show that

$$E \left\{ \frac{\tilde{M}_n N_n - n}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Because of

$$N_n = n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \tilde{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})$$

and

$$n = n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mu(A_{n,j}),$$

9
we have that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left\{ \hat{M}_n \frac{N_n - n}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} \\
= n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \hat{\nu}_n(A_{n,j}) \nu(A_{n,j})(\hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j}) - \mu(A_{n,j})) \right\} \\
= n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j}) \left( \mathbb{E} \left\{ \hat{\nu}_n(A_{n,j}) \right\} - \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A_{n,j})}{\hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})} \right\} \mu(A_{n,j}) \right) \\
= n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j}) \left( \nu(A_{n,j}) - \frac{\nu(A_{n,j})}{\mu(A_{n,j})}(1 - e^{-n\mu(A_{n,j})})\mu(A_{n,j}) \right) \\
= n \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \nu(A_{n,j})^2 e^{-n\mu(A_{n,j})} \\
\leq C^{2/3} (\max_{z>0} z^2 e^{-z}) l_n/n \to 0.
\]

To finish the proof of (15) by Lyapunov’s central limit theorem, it suffices to prove that

\[
n^{3/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| t \left( \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A_{n,j})}{\hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})} - \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A_{n,j})}{\hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})} \right\} \right) \nu(A_{n,j}) + v \left( \hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j}) - \mu(A_{n,j}) \right) \right|^3 \} \to 0
\]
or, by invoking the \(c_r\) inequality, that

\[
n^{3/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A_{n,j})}{\hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})} - \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A_{n,j})}{\hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})} \right\} \right|^3 \} \nu(A_{n,j})^3 \to 0
\]  

(18)

and

\[
n^{3/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j}) - \mu(A_{n,j}) \right|^3 \} \nu(A_{n,j})^3 \to 0.
\]  

(19)

In view of (18), because of (11) it suffices to prove

\[
D_n := n^{3/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A_{n,j})}{\hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})} - \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A_{n,j})}{\hat{\mu}_n(A_{n,j})} \right\} \right|^3 \} \mu(A_{n,j})^3 \to 0
\]  

(20)

For a cell \(A\), (16) implies that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A)}{\hat{\mu}_n(A)} - \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A)}{\hat{\mu}_n(A)} \right\} \right|^3 \} \leq 4 \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{\hat{\nu}_n(A)}{\hat{\mu}_n(A)} - \frac{\nu(A)}{\hat{\mu}_n(A)}(1 - \tilde{q}_n,0)\mathbb{I}_{\hat{\mu}_n(A)>0} \right|^3 \} \\
+ 4 \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{\nu(A)}{\mu(A)}(1 - \tilde{q}_n,0)\mathbb{I}_{\hat{\mu}_n(A)>0} - \frac{\nu(A)}{\mu(A)}(1 - \tilde{q}_n,0) \right|^3 \right\}.
\]
On the one hand, (16), (11) and (22) imply that, for a constant $K$,

$$
E\left\{ \frac{\hat{\mu}_n(A) - \nu(A)}{\mu(A)} (1 - \tilde{q}_{n,0}) \mathbb{I}_{\{\hat{\mu}_n(A) > 0\}} \right\}^3
\leq K \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3/2}} \tilde{q}_{n,k}
\leq c_1 \frac{1}{n^{3/2} \mu(A)^{3/2}},
$$

where we applied the Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund (1937) inequality for absolute central moments of sums of i.i.d. random variables. On the other hand

$$
E\left\{ \left| \frac{\nu(A)}{\mu(A)} (1 - \tilde{q}_{n,0}) \mathbb{I}_{\{\hat{\mu}_n(A) > 0\}} - \frac{\nu(A)}{\mu(A)} (1 - \tilde{q}_{n,0}) \right|^3 \right\} \leq C \tilde{q}_{n,0}.
$$

Therefore

$$
D_n \leq n^{3/2} c_2 \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \left( \frac{1}{n^{3/2} \mu(A_n,j)^{3/2}} + e^{-n\mu(A_n,j)} \right) \mu(A_n,j)^3
\leq c_2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mu(A_n,j)^{3/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} n^{3/2} e^{-n\mu(A_n,j)} \mu(A_n,j)^3 \right)
\leq c_2 \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mu(A_n,j)^{3/2} \left( 1 + \max_{z>0} z^{3/2} e^{-z} \right)
= c_3 \int \mu(A_n(x))^{1/2} \mu(dx)
\to 0,
$$

where we used the assumption that $\mu$ is non-atomic. Thus, (18) is proved.

The proof of (19) is easier. Notice that (19) means

$$
F_n := n^{-3/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} E \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i \in A_n,j\}} - n\mu(A_n,j) \right\}^3 \to 0.
$$
One has
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N_n}\mathbb{1}_{\{X_i \in A_{n,j}\}} - n \mu(A_{n,j}) \right|^3 \right\} \\
\leq 4 \mathbb{E}\left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N_n}(\mathbb{1}_{\{X_i \in A_{n,j}\}} - \mu(A_{n,j})) \right|^3 \right\} + 4 \mathbb{E}\left\{ |(N_n - n)\mu(A_{n,j})|^3 \right\} \\
\leq c_4 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{3/2} \mu(A_{n,j})^{3/2} e^{-\frac{n^k}{k!}} + \mathbb{E}\left\{ |N_n - n|^3 \right\} \mu(A_{n,j})^3 \right) \\
\leq c_5 \left( n^{3/2} \mu(A_{n,j})^{3/2} + n^{3/2} \mu(A_{n,j})^3 \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore
\[
F_n \leq 2c_5 \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mu(A_{n,j})^{3/2} \to 0,
\]
and so (19) is proved, too.

The remaining step in the proof of (10) is to show that
\[
\Delta_n := V_n - M_n = n^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \left( \mathbb{E}\left\{ \frac{\nu_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})} \right\} - \mathbb{E}\left\{ \frac{\nu_n(A_{n,j})}{\mu_n(A_{n,j})} \right\} \right) \nu(A_{n,j}) \to 0
\]

By (16) and (17) have that
\[
|\Delta_n| = \left| n^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \frac{\nu(A_{n,j})}{\mu(A_{n,j})} (e^{-\nu(A_{n,j})} - (1 - \mu(A_{n,j}))^n) \nu(A_{n,j}) \right|
\]
\[
= n^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \frac{\nu(A_{n,j})^2}{\mu(A_{n,j})^2} (e^{-\nu(A_{n,j})} - (1 - \mu(A_{n,j}))^n) \mu(A_{n,j})
\]
\[
\leq C^2 n^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} (e^{-\nu(A_{n,j})} - (1 - \mu(A_{n,j}))^n) \mu(A_{n,j}).
\]

For \(0 \leq z \leq 1\), using the elementary inequalities
\[
1 - z \leq e^{-z} \leq 1 - z + z^2
\]
we have that
\[
e^{-nz} - (1 - z)^n = (e^{-z} - (1 - z)) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kz}(1 - z)^{n-1-k} \leq nz^2 e^{-(n-1)z},
\]
and thus we get that

\[ |\Delta_n| \leq C^2/3 n^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} (e^{-n\mu(A_{n,j})} - (1 - \mu(A_{n,j}))^n)\mu(A_{n,j}) \]

\[ \leq C^2/3 n^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} n\mu(A_{n,j})^3 e^{-(n-1)\mu(A_{n,j})} \]

\[ \leq C^2/3 \frac{n^{1/2}}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mu(A_{n,j}) \left( [n\mu(A_{n,j})]^2 e^{-n\mu(A_{n,j})} \right) e \]

\[ \leq C^2/3 \frac{n^{1/2}}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} \mu(A_{n,j}) \max_{z \geq 0} (z^2 e^{-z}) e \]

\[ \rightarrow 0. \]

This ends the proof of (10) and so the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \[\square\]

Next we give two lemmas, which are used above.

**Lemma 3** If \( B(n, p) \) is a binomial random variable with parameters \((n, p)\), then

\[ E \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + B(n, p)} \right\} = \frac{1 - (1 - p)^{n+1}}{(n + 1)p}. \]  

**Lemma 4** If \( \text{Po}(\lambda) \) is a Poisson random variable with parameter \( \lambda \), then

\[ E \left\{ \frac{1}{\text{Po}(\lambda)^2 I(\text{Po}(\lambda) > 0)} \right\} \leq \frac{24}{\lambda^3}. \]
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